Colorado Health Assessment and Planning System Prioritization Scoring Tool														
Instructions: Rate each criteria on a scale of 0 to 2 with 0 = "no" 1 = "somewhat" and 2 = "yes"	Significance to	o Public Health	Ability to Imp	pact the Issue	Capacity to Ad	dress the Issue	Prior Priority	Regional Consensus *						
	Does the issue impact a large number or high percentage of people in our community?	Do health disparities exist? (Are sub- populations more affected than the general public?)	Do strategies exist that can be implemented locally produce the desired outcome?	Does community support for change exist, including political will?	Is a local organization prepared to take the lead on the issue?	Are sufficient resources (funding, staff, expertise) available or obtainable?	Was the issue a priority in your last public health improvement plan?	Is there agreement across all 6 counties that this is a priority?*	TOTAL (w/o Regional Consensus Question)	Rank	TOTAL w/ Regional Consensus Question	Rank	TOTAL w/ Weights & Regional Consensus	Rank
Criteria Weight:	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2						
Issue 1. Mental Health	18	14	13	17	14	10	18	18	104	1	122	1	140	1
Issue 2. Access to Health	16	16	8	11	6	7	2	18	66	5	84	5	102	3
Issue 3. HEAL	14	12	15	15	14	16	18	9	104	1	113	2	122	2
Issue 4. Poverty/Health Equity	15	16	7	12	4	1	0	9	55	6	64	6	73	6
Issue 5. Healthy Housing	15	17	12	11	9	8	5	9	77	4	86	3	95	4
Issue 6. Communicable Diseases	11	10	16	10	16	14	9	0	86	3	86	3	86	5

* Regional Consensus: Assigned 18 if in all 6 counties agreed, 9 if in 4 or more counties, 0 if 3 or less counties.

* Weights can be changed- see final colum to view totals with weights

										F	Prioritization Sco	oring Tool			
	Phase 1									Phase 2					
	Significance to Public Health		Ability to Impact the Issue		Capacity to Address the Issue		Prior Priority			Regional Consensus *					
Instructions: Rate each criteria on a scale of 0 to 2 with: 0 = "no" 1 = "somewhat" and 2 = "yes"	Does the issue impact a large number or high percentage of people in our community?	Do health disparities exist? (Are sub- populations more affected than the general public?)	Do strategies exist that can be implemented locally produce the desired outcome?	Does community support for change exist, including political will?	Is a local organization prepared to take the lead on the issue?	Are sufficient resources (funding, staff, expertise) available or obtainable?	Was the issue a priority in your last public health improvement plan?	TOTAL	Rank	Is there agreement across all 6 counties that this is a priority?*	TOTAL w/ Regional Consensus Question	Rank			
Issue A. Mental Health	18	14	13	17	14	10	18	104	1	18	122	1			
Issue B. Access to Health	16	16	8	11	6	7	2	66	5	18	84	5			
Issue C. Healthy Eating Active Living	14	12	15	15	14	16	18	104	1	9	113	2			
Issue D. Poverty/Health Equity	15	16	7	12	4	1	0	55	6	9	64	6			
Issue E. Healthy Housing- <i>Radon, Lead,</i> <i>Wate r</i>	15	17	12	11	9	8	5	77	4	9	86	3			
Issue F. Communicable Diseases	11	10	16	10	16	14	9	86	3	0	86	3			

Phase 1: On Dec. 15th, 2016 the WCPHP Core Planning Team reviewed quantitative regional data, stakeholder meeting data from 6 counties, and hospital community survey data to choose top health issues to rate with this prioritization tool. Phase 1 Totals reflect the outcome and rank from prioritization during that meeting.

Phase 2: During the Dec. 15th meeting, the WCPHP Core Planning Team recognized that not all of the six health issues that were ranked with the prioritization tool were identified in all six county stakeholder meetings as priority health issues. Following the meeting, Katherine Melland and Margaret Wacker included a separate question to reflect the desire of the WCPHP Core Planning Team to choose issues that had regional consensus: "Is there agreement across all 6 counties that this is a priority?" As indicated in the prioritization tool instructions, if the answer was: Yes (all 6 counties agreed) received a score of 2 from each of the 9 participants for a total of 18 points. Somewhat (4 or 5 counties) received a score of 1 for each of the 9 participants for a total of 9 points. No (3 or fewer counties) received a score of 0 for each of the 9 participants for a total of 0 points. The Totals column reflects the outcome and rank from the prioritization meeting and the additional regional consensus question.

West Central Public Health Partnership, December 15th 2016, Montrose County HHS

Instructions: Rate each criteria on a scale of 0 to 2 with 0 = "no" 1 = "somewhat" and 2 = "yes"	Significance to) Public Health	West Central Public Health Partnership, December 15th 2016, Montrose County HHS Prioritization Scoring Too Health Ability to Impact the Issue Capacity to Address the Issue Prior Priority Regional Consensus * P								
		Do health disparities exist? (Are sub-	Do strategies exist that can be implemented locally produce the desired outcome?	Does community support for change exist, including political will?	Is a local organization prepared to take the lead on the issue?	Are sufficient	Was the issue a priority in your last public health improvement plan?	Is there agreement across all 6 counties that this is a priority?*		Rank	
Issue A. Mental Health	18	14	13	17	14	10	18	18	122	1	
Issue B. Access to Health	16	16	8	11	6	7	2	18	84	5	
Issue C. HEAL	14	12	15	15	14	16	18	9	113	2	
Issue D. Poverty/Health Equity	15	16	7	12	4	1	0	9	64	6	
Issue E. Healthy Housing	15	17	12	11	9	8	5	9	86	3	
Issue F. Communicable Diseases	11	10	16	10	16	14	9	0	86	3	

* Regional Consensus: Assigned 18 if in all 6 counties agreed, 9 if in 4

or more counties, 0 if 3 or less counties.

* Weights can be changed- see final colum to view totals with weights